





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/749,254	12/27/2000	S. Robert Kovac	687-424	5797		
25204	7590 05/17/2004		EXAM	INER		
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP 840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE			BROWN, MICHAEL A			
SUITE 700	I CENTER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEWPORT B	EACH, CA 92660		3764	10		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	200	Applicant(s)			Ì
Office Action Summary	Examiner	-07] /	<u> </u>	Group Ar	, 1	<u> </u>
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	Tichae)	heet be	neath the c	orresponde	1	dress—
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	3_	MONTH(S) FROM TH	IE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, exp Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutor ire SIX (6) MONT	y minimu HS from	ım of thirty (30) the mailing dat	days will be o	considere nunicatio	d timely.
Status	,					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
This action is FINAL.		······				•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	formal matters, .D. 11; 453 O.	prose G. 213.	cution as to	the merits	is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims						
©Claim(s)			is/ara i	endina in t	ha annli	cation
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	•				om con	sideration.
Claim(s) 1-7, 9-15, 40-41 and	167-6	14	is/are a	allowed.		
						•
			is/are o	bjected to.		
☐ Claim(s)				ject to resti	iction o	r election
Application Papers			require	ment.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	eview, PTO-948	١.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			disapproved	1 .		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	o by the Exami	ner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					. 12 1	
\Box The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	•					
 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p ☐ received. 				·		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				·		
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	9	□lote	erview Summ	ani DTO 4	12	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892				_		DTO 450
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			ice of Inform er			
		_ Ou				
Office Act 3. Patent and Trademark Office	ion Summary					
Territorial Ville						

*U.S. GPO: 1998-454-457/97505

Part of Paper No._

Application/Control Number: 09/749,254

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims1 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenwick Cawood, as set forth in the previous office action, rejection of claim 1, Paper No 7.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Thomalla, in the rejection of claims 14-15, Paper No. 7.

Claims 2-3, 5, 9-10, 13 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor as set forth in the previous office action in the rejection of claims 2-3, 5, 9-10, 13 and 40-41, Paper No. 7.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Baker, as set forth in the previous office action, in the rejection of claims 6-7, Paper No. 7.

Claims 4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Neal, in the rejection of claims 4 and 11-12, as set forth in the previous office action, Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cawood.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16-39 and 42 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Cawood does not disclose a medical drape having an adhesive proximal to an aperture that allows the drape to be secured to an area around the genitalia. However, Cawood discloses a medical drape having an aperture 19 having an adhesive (pressure sensitive adhesive covered by 18). Thus, the adhesive is proximal to the opening. As to where the adhesive is used to attach the opening to the user's body is a function of the device. Applicant argues that Fenwick does not disclose adhesive proximal the aperture in the drape. Applicant also argues that Cawood does not remedy the deficiencies of Fenwick. However, Fenwick discloses in the environment of a surgical drape having an opening and an adhesive on the drape.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,254

Art Unit: 3764

Cawood was used as a modifier to provide an adhesive that is proximal to the opening. Applicant argues that Taylor does not remedy the deficiencies of Fenwick and Cawood. However, Taylor was used as a modifier to provide a stiffener on the pouch to prevent the pouch from collapsing during use. Applicant argues that Neal does not remedy the deficiencies of Fenwick, Cawood or Taylor. However, Neal was used as a modifier to provide an oval shaped opening and markings on the pouch. Applicant argues that Baker does not remedy the deficiencies of Fenwick or Cawood. However, Baker was used as a modifier to provide an antimicrobial agent on the drape. Applicant argues that Thomalla does not remedy the deficiencies of Fenwick or Cawood. However, Thomalla was used as a modifier to provide adhesive tabs on the drape.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2682. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown May 17, 2004

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mihael Gb